

THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

LIAOSHENG ZHANG,
Plaintiff,
v.
BOEING COMPANY, AMAZON
GLOBAL RESOURCES, INC.,
MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Defendants.

No. 07-1794

PRAEICE REGARDING BOEING'S
ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED
COMPLAINT WITH JURY DEMAND

BOEING COMPANY, AMAZON
GLOBAL RESOURCES, INC.,
MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

The undersigned attorney for Defendant The Boeing Company requests that you add the attached page 10 to Boeing's Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint With Jury Demand, electronically filed on January 22, 2008.

DATED: January 23, 2008

PERKINS COIE LLP

By: /s/Chelsea Dwyer Petersen
Chelsea Dwyer Petersen, WSBA No.
33787
CDPetersen@perkinscoie.com

Attorneys for Defendant
THE BOEING COMPANY

PRAEICE REGARDING BOEING'S ANSWER
TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT
WITH JURY DEMAND (NO. 07-1794) - 1
03002-1677/LEGAL13902238.1

Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800
Seattle, WA 98101-3099
Phone: 206.359.8000
Fax: 206.359.9000

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 On January 23, 2008, I caused to be served upon counsel of record, at the address
8 stated below, via the method of service indicated, a true and correct copy of the following
9 document:

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 **PRAECIPE REGARDING BOEING'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED
19 COMPLAINT WITH JURY DEMAND**
20
21

10 Ms. Liaosheng Zhang, Pro Se 11 12334 31st Avenue NE, #306 12 Seattle, WA 98125 13 Phone: (206) 364-1348	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	Via hand delivery Via U.S. Mail, 1st Class, Postage Prepaid Via Overnight Delivery Via Facsimile Via E-filing
---	--	--	--	--

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the
9 foregoing is true and correct.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

10 DATED at Seattle, Washington, this 23rd day of January, 2008.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
Perkins Coie LLP

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
By /s/Chelsea D. Petersen
Chelsea D. Petersen, WSBA #33787

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (NO. 07-1794 - 1)

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800
Seattle, WA 98101-3099
Phone: 206.359.8000
Fax: 206.359.9000

sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation and therefore denies each and every allegation in the paragraph.

5.5 Answering paragraph 5.3(b) of the Amended Complaint, Boeing admits that the plaintiff was issued a Dismissal and Notice of Rights from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission dated August 6, 2007 indicating that "based upon its investigation the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes" and informing the plaintiff that she must file a lawsuit within 90 days of the receipt of the notice. Except as otherwise expressly admitted herein, Boeing is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation regarding when plaintiff received the Dismissal and Notice of Rights document and therefore denies each and every allegation in the paragraph.

5.6 Answering paragraph 5.3(c) of the Amended Complaint, Boeing admits that the Amended Complaint was filed on November 5, 2007. Except as expressly admitted herein, Boeing is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation regarding when plaintiff received the Dismissal and Notice of Rights document and therefore denies each and every allegation in the paragraph.

5.7 Answering paragraph 5.4 of the Amended Complaint, Boeing denies each and every allegation in the paragraph.

5.8 Answering paragraph 5.5 of the Amended Complaint, Boeing denies each and every allegation in the paragraph.

VI. DAMAGES

6.1 Answering paragraph 6.1 of the Amended Complaint, Boeing reasserts each and every answer in paragraphs 1 through 5.8, above, and incorporates those answers as though fully set forth herein.

6.2 Answering paragraph 6.2 of the Amended Complaint, Boeing denies each and every allegation in the paragraph.